

Questions for Black Hole evaporation from Quantum Statistical Mechanics

David Wallace

Philosophy Department, University of Southern
California

Black Hole Initiative, May 9th 2017

Thermally Typical Black Holes

David Wallace

Philosophy Department, University of Southern
California

Black Hole Initiative, May 9th 2017

Black hole thermodynamics

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?
 - Hawking radiation

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?
 - Hawking radiation
 - Bekenstein bound from QFT

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?
 - Hawking radiation
 - Bekenstein bound from QFT
- Statistical mechanical systems?

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?
 - Hawking radiation
 - Bekenstein bound from QFT
- Statistical mechanical systems?
 - Saddle-point calculations via Euclidean action

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?
 - Hawking radiation
 - Bekenstein bound from QFT
- Statistical mechanical systems?
 - Saddle-point calculations via Euclidean action
 - Qualitative and (some) quantitative derivations of entropy in string theory and other approaches to quantum gravity

Black hole thermodynamics

- Formally analogous?
 - Classical black hole thermodynamics
- Thermodynamical systems in the full sense?
 - Hawking radiation
 - Bekenstein bound from QFT
- Statistical mechanical systems?
 - Saddle-point calculations via Euclidean action
 - Qualitative and (some) quantitative derivations of entropy in string theory and other approaches to quantum gravity
 - AdS/CFT

The membrane paradigm

The membrane paradigm

- Treat a black hole as a quantum system on the “stretched horizon”, just outside the event horizon

The membrane paradigm

- Treat a black hole as a quantum system on the “stretched horizon”, just outside the event horizon
- Black hole radiation is ordinary thermal radiation from this stretched horizon

The membrane paradigm

- Treat a black hole as a quantum system on the “stretched horizon”, just outside the event horizon
- Black hole radiation is ordinary thermal radiation from this stretched horizon
- Late-time radiation is entangled with early-time radiation (just as in ordinary thermal cooling) so that evolution remains unitary

Atmosphere of a black hole

Quantum mechanics of the interior

Penrose diagram for gravitational collapse (Heisenberg picture)

Black hole complementarity

Black hole complementarity

- Quantum description of the black hole interior *is a* *redescription of / is dual to* exterior degrees of freedom

Black hole complementarity

- Quantum description of the black hole interior *is a redescription of / is dual to* exterior degrees of freedom
- Most obvious interpretation: degrees of freedom on the stretched horizon (holography again)

Black hole complementarity

- Quantum description of the black hole interior *is a redescription of / is dual to* exterior degrees of freedom
- Most obvious interpretation: degrees of freedom on the stretched horizon (holography again)
- The **AMPS paradox** (Almheiri, Marolf, Polchinski, Sully): someone falling into black hole at sufficiently late times can see both copies of the quantum state

Firewalls!

- At least at late times, observer crossing the horizon encounters a large amount of Planck-scale energy

Firewalls!

- At least at late times, observer crossing the horizon encounters a large amount of Planck-scale energy
- Prevents the AMPS paradox

Firewalls!

- At least at late times, observer crossing the horizon encounters a large amount of Planck-scale energy
- Prevents the AMPS paradox
- Natural consequence of no entanglement between interior/exterior

Firewalls!

- At least at late times, observer crossing the horizon encounters a large amount of Planck-scale energy
- Prevents the AMPS paradox
- Natural consequence of no entanglement between interior/exterior
- Some evidence that firewalls are typical in AdS black holes (Marulff/Wolf)

Why not firewalls?

Why not firewalls?

- Undermines original argument for Hawking radiation

Why not firewalls?

- Undermines original argument for Hawking radiation
- Physics just outside horizon seems perfectly well-behaved (and horizons aren't even local)

Choice of exterior quantum state

Boulware vacuum – static observers see no radiation

Choice of exterior quantum state

Boulware vacuum – static observers see no radiation

Singular on past and future horizons

Choice of exterior quantum state

Unruh vacuum – static observers see outgoing radiation at the Hawking temperature

Choice of exterior quantum state

Unruh vacuum – static observers see outgoing radiation at the Hawking temperature. **Singular on past horizon, regular on future**

Choice of exterior quantum state

Hartle-Hawking “vacuum” – static observers see outgoing and incoming radiation at the Hawking temperature

Choice of exterior quantum state

Hartle-Hawking “vacuum” – static observers see outgoing and incoming radiation at the Hawking temperature

Regular on both horizons

Form of horizon singularity

Form of horizon singularity

- “Lenny Susskind has emphasized to me however that one can attempt a “strictly exterior” calculation of the entropy and temperature by arguing that quantum fields outside the horizon have a large backreaction in the Schwarzschild geometry if we put them at a temperature other than T_H ... if we are willing to allow large backreaction right at the horizon in the form of a firewall, why shouldn't we also allow it further out in the atmosphere?” (Harlow, arXiv:1409.1231v4)

Form of horizon singularity

- “Lenny Susskind has emphasized to me however that one can attempt a “strictly exterior” calculation of the entropy and temperature by arguing that quantum fields outside the horizon have a large backreaction in the Schwarzschild geometry if we put them at a temperature other than T_H ... if we are willing to allow large backreaction right at the horizon in the form of a firewall, why shouldn't we also allow it further out in the atmosphere?” (Harlow, arXiv:1409.1231v4)
- Answer (I take it): we need self-consistency. We must have

$$G_{ab} = 8 \pi G \langle T_{ab} \rangle$$

at least approximately

(There might be *other* quantum objects which deviate from Schwarzschild metric far from horizon, but we're interested in *these* objects)

Irreversibility of black hole formation

Irreversibility of black hole formation

- Entropy of a star: $\sim 10^{58}$ J/K

Irreversibility of black hole formation

- Entropy of a star: $\sim 10^{58}$ J/K
- Entropy of a solar-mass black hole: $\sim 10^{77}$ J/K

Irreversibility of black hole formation

- Entropy of a star: $\sim 10^{58}$ J/K
- Entropy of a solar-mass black hole: $\sim 10^{77}$ J/K
- Number of (orthogonal) microstates of microstate with entropy S is $\exp(k_B S)$

Irreversibility of black hole formation

- Entropy of a star: $\sim 10^{58}$ J/K
- Entropy of a solar-mass black hole: $\sim 10^{77}$ J/K
- Number of (orthogonal) microstates of microstate with entropy S is $\exp(k_B S)$
- So black holes produced through astrophysical collapse processes are *highly atypical* from a statistical-mechanics viewpoint

Making and unmaking a thermally
typical black hole

Making and unmaking a thermally typical black hole

- Compress a Planck mass of radiation to a Planck volume to get a Planck-mass black hole

Making and unmaking a thermally typical black hole

- Compress a Planck mass of radiation to a Planck volume to get a Planck-mass black hole
- Seal in a box of radiation at just slightly higher temperature

Making and unmaking a thermally typical black hole

- Compress a Planck mass of radiation to a Planck volume to get a Planck-mass black hole
- Seal in a box of radiation at just slightly higher temperature
- Continuously expand the box and lower the temperature, keeping it *just above* the black hole temperature

Making and unmaking a thermally typical black hole

- Compress a Planck mass of radiation to a Planck volume to get a Planck-mass black hole
- Seal in a box of radiation at just slightly higher temperature
- Continuously expand the box and lower the temperature, keeping it *just above* the black hole temperature
- When you get bored, adjust the temperature to *just below* the black hole temperature

Making and unmaking a thermally typical black hole

- Compress a Planck mass of radiation to a Planck volume to get a Planck-mass black hole
- Seal in a box of radiation at just slightly higher temperature
- Continuously expand the box and lower the temperature, keeping it *just above* the black hole temperature
- When you get bored, adjust the temperature to *just below* the black hole temperature
- Continuously contract the box and raise the temperature, keeping it *just below* the black hole temperature

Typical black hole spacetime?

Typical black hole spacetime?

Typical black hole spacetime?

Typical black hole spacetime

Typical black hole spacetime

Typical black hole spacetime

Interior:

- naked past singularity?

Typical black hole spacetime

Interior:

- naked past singularity?
- Within-horizon region already unpredictable?

Typical black hole spacetime

Interior:

- naked past singularity?
- Within-horizon region already unpredictable?
- Further timelike singularities needed to close interior?

Typical black hole spacetime

- No interior?

Conclusions

Conclusions

- No assumption about horizon smoothness required to derive Hawking radiation

Conclusions

- No assumption about horizon smoothness required to derive Hawking radiation
- For *thermally typical* black holes (but not astrophysical ones) it's somewhat mysterious how to fill in the interior *without* firewalls

Conclusions

- No assumption about horizon smoothness required to derive Hawking radiation
- For *thermally typical* black holes (but not astrophysical ones) it's somewhat mysterious how to fill in the interior *without* firewalls
- Gives some tentative support to interior-reconstruction scenarios that work only in atypical cases